

REMARKS

The undersigned respectively disagrees with the restriction requirement. While claim 20, for example, does not require the limitation "said device having a level of sensitivity to magnetic field.....to a level of said bias current" of claim 1, for example, it is quite apparent that all of the claims are very related. The feature reciting the variation of the bias current, for example, is recited in claim 23 which depends on claim 20. Further, it is believed to be apparent that the same search will be required for claims 1-19 as will be required for claims 20-32 and that greater efficiency of Patent Office resources will be achieved if claims 1-19 and claims 20-32 are examined at the same time and by the same Examiner. Applicant respectfully request withdrawal of the restriction requirement.

Respectively submitted,

Date

5/20/04

*Gregory A Bruns*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gregory A. Bruns, Reg. No. 33,656  
4612 Bruce Ave. So  
Edina, MN 55424-1123  
Phone 952/926-0862  
E-Mail: gabruns@twincitizen.net